# Exhibit 29

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

| In re:  | Chapter 11                           |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC, et al.,                             | Case No. 23-90020 (DRJ)              |
| Debtors.  | (Jointly Administered)               |
| SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC, et al.,                             |                                      |
| Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,                         | : Adversary Proc. No. 23-09001 (DRJ) |
| V.  |                                      |
| AG CENTRE STREET PARTNERSHIP L.P., et al.,                      | :<br>:                               |
| Defendants, Counterclaim Plaintiffs and Third-Party Plaintiffs, | :<br>:<br>:                          |
| v.  | ;<br>;                               |
| AGF FLOATING RATE INCOME FUND, et al.,                          | :<br>:                               |
| Third-Party Defendants.   | :<br>:                               |
|   | :                                    |

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES OF DEFENDANTS AG CENTRE STREET PARTNERSHIP L.P., AG CREDIT SOLUTIONS NON-ECI MASTER FUND, L.P., AG SF MASTER (L), L.P., AG SUPER FUND MASTER, L.P., AND SILVER OAK CAPITAL, L.L.C. TO PLAINTIFFS' JOINT FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO CERTAIN DEFENDANTS

Pursuant to Rules 9014, 7026, and 7036 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy

Procedure, Rules 26 and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the corresponding Local

Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the "Local

Rules"), defendants AG Centre Street Partnership L.P., AG Credit Solutions Non-ECI Master

Fund, L.P., AG SF Master (L), L.P., AG Super Fund Master, L.P., and Silver Oak Capital,

L.L.C. (collectively, "Angelo Gordon"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, makes the

following responses and objections (each, a "Response and Objection," and together, the

"Responses and Objections") to the Joint First Set of Requests for Admission to Certain

Defendants of adversary plaintiffs Serta Simmons Bedding, LLC, Invesco Senior Secured

Management Inc., Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC, Boston Research Management, Eaton

Vance Management, and Barings, LLC's, (together, "Plaintiffs") dated February 10, 2023 (each, a "Request for Admission," and together, the "Requests for Admission"). 1

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Angelo Gordon objects to each Definition, Instruction, and Request for Admission to the extent that it imposes burdens and requirements upon Angelo Gordon that exceed or differ from those imposed by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including Rules 26 and 36, the Local Rules, and/or any other applicable rules or Orders of this Court. Angelo Gordon will respond to the Requests for Admission in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and/or any other applicable rules or Orders of this Court.
- 2. Angelo Gordon objects to the Requests for Admission to the extent that they call for information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest privilege, or any other applicable privilege, protection or doctrine. Where information contains material that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise protected from disclosure, Angelo Gordon will produce only those portions of the information that is not confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. In the event any privileged or otherwise protected information is revealed, such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although Plaintiffs Boston Management and Research and Eaton Vance Management were not parties to this Action at the time the Requests for Admission were served, North Star deems the within Responses and Objections to apply to all Plaintiffs named in the Amended Complaint dated Feb. 14, 2023, Adv. Proc. ECF 38 ("Amended Complaint").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized, undefined terms herein shall have the meanings used in the Requests for Admission.

production is inadvertent and does not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege, protection, or doctrine.

- 3. Angelo Gordon's Responses and Objections to the Requests for Admission are not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an agreement or concurrence by Angelo Gordon with the Plaintiffs' characterizations of any facts, circumstances, and/or legal obligations. Angelo Gordon reserves the right to contest any such characterizations as inaccurate.
- 4. Angelo Gordon objects to the Requests for Admission to the extent that they contain undefined or other terminology that is vague, ambiguous, or colloquial, insofar as such terminology does not permit Angelo Gordon to ascertain the content of the Request for Admission, rendering the Request for Admission unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Angelo Gordon will nonetheless make a good faith effort to interpret and respond to the Requests for Admission subject to the limitations stated herein.
- 5. Angelo Gordon objects to each Instruction, General Definition, and Request for Admission to the extent that it seeks information that is competitively sensitive, confidential, or proprietary, including information that is subject to third-party confidentiality obligations, trade secrets, or other confidential or proprietary research, development, commercial, or business information ("Confidential Information"). To the extent Angelo Gordon's Responses and Objections to the Requests for Admission contain Confidential Information, as defined in the Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties in this Action and so-ordered by the Court on March 3, 2023, Adv. Proc. ECF 74 (the "Protective Order"), Angelo Gordon's Responses are subject to the terms of the Protective Order.

- 6. These Responses and Objections are made solely for the purposes of this Action. Angelo Gordon provides these Responses and Objections without waiver of, or prejudice to, but, on the contrary, preserving and intending to preserve, its right, at any later time, to raise objections to (a) any further demand or discovery involving or relating to the matters raised in the Requests for Admission, or (b) the competence, relevance, materiality, privilege, or admissibility, on any grounds, at any time, of (i) the Requests for Admission or any part thereof, and/or (ii) statements made in these Responses to the Requests for Admission or any part thereof, for any purpose whatsoever.
- 7. Angelo Gordon objects to the Requests for Admission to the extent they are premature. Angelo Gordon has not completed its discovery and preparation in this matter, and Angelo Gordon's investigation of this matter is ongoing. Angelo Gordon's responses are being made after reasonable inquiry into the relevant facts, and the responses are based only upon the information and documentation that is presently available to and known to Angelo Gordon. Further investigation and discovery may result in the identification of additional information, and Angelo Gordon reserves the right to modify its Responses. Angelo Gordon's Responses and Objections should not be construed to prejudice Angelo Gordon's right to conduct further investigation in this matter, or to limit Angelo Gordon's use of any additional evidence that may be developed. Angelo Gordon reserves the right to supplement, amend, or correct all or any parts of any response provided herein, and reserves the right to object to the admissibility of all or any part of the Responses and Objections and information provided herein.
- 8. Angelo Gordon reserves the right to complete its investigation and discovery of the facts, and to rely in motion practice, at trial or in other proceedings upon information in addition to the information provided herein, regardless of whether such

information is newly discovered or newly in evidence. Angelo Gordon further reserves the right to supplement or amend its Responses and Objections to reflect newly discovered information.

- 9. Angelo Gordon has responded to these Requests for Admission as it interprets and understands them. If the Plaintiffs subsequently assert an interpretation of any Request for Admission or response that differs from Angelo Gordon's understanding, Angelo Gordon reserves the right to supplement or amend its Responses and Objections.
- 10. Angelo Gordon expressly states that these Responses and Objections and/or Angelo Gordon's provision of information in response to the Requests for Admission: (i) are without prejudice to any relief requested by Angelo Gordon in connection with the Amended Complaint in this Action, or any other action; and (ii) will not function as a waiver of any kind of Angelo Gordon's rights in this or any other proceeding.
- 11. All of the General Objections set forth above are incorporated in each of the specific responses to the individual Requests for Admission set forth below and have the same force and effect as if fully set forth below. Where a General Objection is repeated or referred to in a specific response, it is for emphasis only.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS**

- 12. Angelo Gordon further objects to the Definitions, individually and collectively, and to any Definition, Instruction or Request that incorporates the Definitions to the extent that they are overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous.
- 13. Angelo Gordon objects to the Definitions, individually and collectively, and to any Definition, Instruction, or Request that incorporates the Definitions, to the extent that they purport to define terms other than in accordance with the meanings typically ascribed to those terms in ordinary usage or pursuant to the Applicable Rules.

- 14. Angelo Gordon objects to Definitions 7 ("Gamut") and 12 ("North Star") on the grounds that the phrase "affiliates, subsidiaries, and sponsors, collectively" as used therein is vague and ambiguous. Angelo Gordon further objects to these Definitions as they are overbroad, vague, and unduly burdensome to the extent they purport to include entities that are not parties to this litigation. Subject to, and without waiver of, these objections, Angelo Gordon will interpret the phrase "Gamut" to refer to Defendant Gamut Capital SSB, LLC and will interpret the phrase "North Star" to refer to Defendant North Star Debt Holdings, L.P.
- 15. Angelo Gordon objects to Definition 19 ("You" and "Your") on the grounds and to the extent that it incorporates Plaintiff's proposed definitions of "Gamut" and "North Star." Angelo Gordon further objects to Definition 19 on the grounds that the phrase "anyone else acting on their behalf" as used therein is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome and calls for information that is neither relevant to the claims, defenses, or subject matter of, nor proportional to the needs of this Action to the extent that they purport to include entities or people not named as parties in this Action. Angelo Gordon furthers object to Definition 19 to the extent that it purports to impose on Angelo Gordon burdens and obligations that exceed those imposed by the Applicable Rules, including, without limitation, by purporting to call for information outside of Angelo Gordon's possession, custody or control. Subject to, and without waiver of, these objections, in responding to the Requests, Defendants will construe the terms "You" and "Your" to refer to the Defendants.
- 16. Angelo Gordon objects to Instruction Nos. 5, 7, 8, and 11 to the extent that they purport to impose requirements or obligations on Angelo Gordon beyond the scope of, or different from, those imposed by the Protective Order, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and/or any other applicable rules or Orders of this Court. Angelo Gordon will

provide information responsive to the Interrogatories in a manner consistent with the Protective Order, applicable Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and/or any other applicable rules or Orders of this Court.

#### **SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES**

<u>Request No. 1:</u> Admit that the Alternative Transaction You proposed to Serta Simmons Bedding would not have involved utilization of a Dutch Auction as that term is defined in the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement.

#### Response to Request No. 1:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General and Specific Objections,
Angelo Gordon admits that, as of the time Serta Simmons Bedding cut off discussions, none of
the various options considered for the unconsummated Alternative Transaction discussed among
Angelo Gordon, Apollo, Gamut, and Serta Simmons Bedding had until that point involved
utilization of a Dutch Auction as that term is defined in the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement.

Request No. 2: Admit that the Alternative Transaction You proposed to Serta Simmons Bedding would have involved an open-market purchase of some of Your existing First Lien Term Loans pursuant to section 9.05(g) of the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement and/or Second Lien Term Loans pursuant to section 9.05(g) of the Second Lien Term Loan Agreement.

#### Response to Request No. 2:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon further objects to this Request on the grounds that it is compound, and thus is vague and ambiguous. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is

neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General and Specific Objections,
Angelo Gordon admits that various options considered for the unconsummated Alternative
Transaction discussed among Angelo Gordon, Apollo, Gamut, and Serta Simmons Bedding may
have relied on section 9.05(g) of the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement and/or section 9.05(g) of
the Second Lien Term Loan Agreement, although such a potential transaction was under
preliminary discussion, and subject to further legal documentation.

### Request No. 3: Admit that the Alternative Transaction You proposed to Serta Simmons Bedding was permitted under the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement.

#### Response to Request No. 3:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction. Angelo Gordon further objects to this Request as it seeks an admission as to its belief about a legal conclusion which Angelo Gordon only could have held as a result of communications with its counsel. Admitting or denying this Request would necessarily reveal the contents of those privileged communications with counsel, as well as the substance of its counsel's attorney work product. Angelo Gordon thus declines to answer this Request on privilege grounds. *See In re Hardwood P-G, Inc.*, 403 B.R. 445, 469-72 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2009).

<u>Request No. 4:</u> Admit that You believed at the time You proposed the Alternative Transaction to Serta Simmons Bedding that it was permitted under the Non-PTL Term Loan Agreement.

#### Response to Request No. 4:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction. Angelo Gordon further objects to this Request as it seeks an admission as to its belief about a legal conclusion, which Angelo Gordon only could have held as a result of communications with its counsel. Admitting or denying this Request would necessarily reveal the contents of those privileged communications with counsel, as well as the substance of its counsel's attorney work product. Angelo Gordon thus declines to answer this Request on privilege grounds. *See In re Hardwood P-G, Inc.*, 403 B.R. at 469-72.

## <u>Request No. 5:</u> Admit that You believed at the time you proposed the Alternative Transaction to Serta Simmons Bedding that it would not have violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

#### Response to Request No. 5:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction. Angelo Gordon further objects to this Request as it seeks an admission as to its belief about a legal conclusion, which Angelo Gordon only could have held as a result of communications with its counsel. Admitting or denying this Request would necessarily reveal the contents of those privileged communications with counsel, as well as the substance of its counsel's attorney work product. Angelo Gordon thus declines to answer this Request on privilege grounds. *See In re Hardwood P-G, Inc.*, 403 B.R. at 469-72.

<u>Request No. 6:</u> Admit that You believed you acted in good faith in proposing the Alternative Transaction.

Response to Request No. 6:

Angelo Gordon incorporates the foregoing General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Angelo Gordon objects to this Request on the grounds that it is neither relevant to the claims or defenses of any party nor proportional to the needs of the case, particularly to the extent that it seeks information about debt transactions other than the Transaction. Angelo Gordon further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks an admission as to a legal matter, as opposed to the truth of a matter relating to facts, the application of law to fact, or opinions about either, and thus exceeds the scope of Rule 36(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General and Specific Objections,

Angelo Gordon admits that at all times it acted in good faith when negotiating the

unconsummated Alternative Transaction with Angelo Gordon, Gamut, and Serta Simmons

Bedding.

Dated: New York, New York March 13, 2023

> FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER ADELMAN & ROBBINS LLP

s/Jamuna D. Kelley

Lawrence S. Robbins\* Eric Seiler\* Anne E. Beaumont\* Jamuna D. Kelley\*

Blair R. Albom\*

7 Times Square

New York, NY 11036-6516

(212) 833-1100

lrobbins@fklaw.com

eseiler@fklaw.com

abeaumont@fklaw.com jkelley@fklaw.com

balbom@fklaw.com

10

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
Kenneth S. Ziman\*
Brian S. Hermann\*
Lewis R. Clayton\*
Andrew J. Ehrlich\*
Michael J. Colarossi\*
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 373-3000
kziman@paulweiss.com
bhermann@paulweiss.com
lclayton@paulweiss.com
aehrlich@paulweiss.com
mcolarossi@paulweiss.com

### PORTER HEDGES LLP

John F. Higgins (TX 09597500)
M. Shane Johnson (TX 24083263)
Megan N. Young-John (TX 24088700)
1000 Main Street, 36th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
(713) 226-6000
jhiggins@porterhedges.com
sjohnson@porterhedges.com
myoung-john@porterhedges.com

Attorneys for AG Centre Street Partnership L.P., AG Credit Solutions Non-ECI Master Fund, L.P., AG SF Master (L), L.P., AG Super Fund Master, L.P., and Silver Oak Capital, L.L.C.

<sup>\*</sup>admitted pro hac vice

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 13, 2023, I caused to be served true and correct copies of the foregoing Responses and Objections of AG Centre Street Partnership L.P., AG Credit Solutions Non-ECI Master Fund, L.P., AG SF Master (L), L.P., AG Super Fund Master, L.P., and Silver Oak Capital, L.L.C. to Plaintiffs Joint First Requests for Admission to Certain

Defendants via electronic mail and overnight Federal Express delivery service upon:

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Gabriel A. Morgan (24125891) Stephanie N. Morrison (24126930) 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002

Houston, TX 77002 Tel: (713) 546-5040

Email: Gabriel.Morgan@weil.com Stephanie.Morrison@weil.com

David J. Lender\* Luna Barrington\* Richard Gage\* 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Tel: (212) 310-8000

Email: david.lender@weil.com luna.barrington@weil.com richard.gage@weil.com

Attorneys for Debtor and Plaintiff Serta Simmons Bedding, LLC GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Gregg J. Costa (24028160) 811 Main Street, Suite 3000

Houston, TX 77002 Tel: (346) 718-6600

Email: gcosta@gibsondunn.com

Orin Snyder\*
Jennifer L. Conn\*
Amanda M. Aycock\*
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
Tel: (212) 351-4000

Email: osnyder@gibsondunn.com jconn@gibsondunn.com aaycock@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Invesco Senior Secured Management, Inc., Barings, LLC, Credit Suisse Asset Management, LLC, Eaton Vance Management, and Boston Management and Research

FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER

US LLP

Madlyn Gleich Primoff (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)

601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 277-4000

Email: madlyn.primoff@freshfields.com

HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP

Michael Shuster\*
Neil R. Lieberman\*
Brian T. Goldman\*
Vincent Levy\*
425 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

Tel: (646) 837-5168

Email: mshuster@hsgllp.com nlieberman@hsgllp.com

Attorneys for Barings LLC

bgoldman@hsgllp.com vlevy@hsgllp.com

Attorneys for LCM XXII LTD., LCM XXIII LTD., LCM XXIV LTD., LCM XXV LTD., LCM 26 LTD., LCM 27 LTD., and LCM 28 LTD

MCKOOL SMITH P.C. John J. Sparacino S. Margie Venus 600 Travis Street Suite 7000 Houston, TX 77002 Tel: (713) 485-7306

Email: jsparacino@mckoolsmith.com mvenus@mckoolsmith.com

Attorneys for LCM XXII LTD., LCM XXIII LTD., LCM XXIV LTD., LCM XXV LTD., LCM 26 LTD., LCM 27 LTD., and LCM 28 LTD

Dated: New York, New York March 13, 2023

#### FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER ADELMAN & ROBBINS LLP

s/Jamuna D. Kelley
Lawrence S. Robbins\*
Eric Seiler\*
Anne E. Beaumont\*
Jamuna D. Kelley\*
Blair R. Albom\*
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-6516
212-833-1100 (Phone)
lrobbins@fklaw.com
eseiler@fklaw.com
abeaumont@fklaw.com
jkelley@fklaw.com
balbom@fklaw.com

<sup>\*</sup>admitted pro hac vice